

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,999	BEITELMAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anatoly Vortman	2835	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Timothy B. Kang, Reg. NO. 46,423. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 26-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner has telephoned the attorney with the request to cancel non-elected claims 26-32 in order to place application in condition for allowance. The applicant has agreed to cancel the claims in order to expedite the prosecution. However, on 04/28/04 the Examiner has telephoned the attorney again and has advised the attorney that in view of the newly discovered reference the allowance of the elected claims is no longer possible and therefore the previous requirement to cancel non-elected claims is no longer in force .



ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER